

SMART DIAGNOSTICS AND SUSTAINABLE PROCESSING FOR FOOD SAFETY AND GLOBAL FOOD SECURITY

Divya Chakshu¹, Puneet Bagga¹ and Ananya Sharma²

¹M.Sc. Student, Postharvest management, Department of Food Science and Technology, COH&F Neri, Hamirpur, Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan (HP) India.

²Phd Scholar, Postharvest management, Department of Food Science and Technology, Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan (HP) India.

Author email: divyachakshu01@gmail.com, puneetbaggapb12345@gmail.com

Corresponding other email: sharmaananya2815@gmail.com

Article ID: 25001

Abstract

Food safety and global food security are intrinsically linked challenges that demand integrated technological solutions. The increasing burden of foodborne diseases, coupled with post-harvest losses and environmental stressors, has intensified the need for innovative approaches in the agri-food sector. According to the World Health Organization, unsafe food causes



approximately 600 million cases of illness annually, emphasizing the magnitude of the issue. At the same time, the Food and Agriculture Organization reports that nearly one-third of global food production is lost or wasted, significantly undermining food security. In this context, smart diagnostics and sustainable processing technologies have emerged as transformative tools. These systems, driven by artificial intelligence, biosensors, and interconnected digital platforms, facilitate real-time monitoring, early hazard detection, and optimized resource utilization. Their integration into food systems enhances both safety and sustainability, ultimately contributing to resilient and efficient global food networks.

1. Introduction

The global food system is undergoing a period of profound transformation due to population expansion, climate variability, and increasing consumer demand for safe and high-quality food. By 2050, food production must increase by nearly 70% to meet global demand, yet this requirement is constrained by limited natural resources and environmental degradation. One of the most critical concerns in this context is the prevalence of foodborne hazards and post-harvest losses. Microbial contamination, improper handling, and inefficient storage systems result in significant quantitative and qualitative losses. In developing regions, these losses are particularly severe due to inadequate infrastructure and limited access to modern technologies. Beyond economic loss, such inefficiencies also contribute to nutritional insecurity and increased greenhouse gas emissions. Recent research highlights that traditional methods of food quality assessment and preservation are often reactive rather than preventive. Conventional microbiological techniques, while reliable, are time-consuming and unsuitable for real-time decision-making. This gap has led to the emergence of smart diagnostics and sustainable processing technologies, which aim to shift food safety management from a reactive to a predictive and preventive paradigm.



2. Smart Diagnostics in Food Safety

2.1 Advanced Detection Technologies

The evolution of diagnostic technologies has significantly improved the ability to detect food contaminants at early stages. Molecular diagnostic methods such as PCR and LAMP operate by amplifying specific nucleic acid sequences of pathogens, enabling highly sensitive detection even when microbial populations are low. More recently, CRISPR-based systems have revolutionized pathogen detection by combining genetic specificity with rapid response times. These systems utilize programmable nucleases to identify target DNA or RNA sequences, producing detectable signals within minutes. In parallel, biosensor technology has gained prominence due to its ability to provide rapid, on-site analysis. Biosensors function through the interaction between a biological recognition element (such as enzymes, antibodies, or nucleic acids) and a transducer that converts this interaction into a measurable signal. Advances in

nanotechnology have further enhanced biosensor performance, enabling detection limits at the nano- or pico-scale and reducing analysis time significantly. Spectroscopic methods, including near-infrared and hyperspectral imaging, offer a non-destructive approach to food quality assessment. These techniques rely on the interaction of light with food components, generating spectral signatures that reflect chemical composition and structural properties. By analyzing these signatures using chemometric models, it is possible to detect adulteration, monitor ripeness, and identify microbial spoilage without physically altering the sample.

2.2 AI and Machine Learning Integration

Artificial intelligence has become a cornerstone of modern food diagnostic systems, primarily due to its ability to process large datasets and identify complex patterns. Machine learning algorithms are increasingly used to interpret data generated by sensors and imaging systems, enabling automated and accurate decision-making. For instance, deep learning models such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs) have demonstrated remarkable success in image-based food quality analysis. These models can distinguish between healthy and defective produce, detect contamination, and classify food products based on quality attributes with high accuracy. Moreover, predictive models are being developed to estimate shelf life by analysing factors such as temperature, humidity, and microbial growth kinetics. Another significant application of AI lies in risk assessment and early warning systems. By integrating data from multiple sources, including environmental sensors and historical records, AI systems can predict potential contamination events and recommend preventive measures. This predictive capability represents a paradigm shift from traditional quality control methods, which often rely on post-contamination detection.

2.3 Smart Packaging and Real-Time Monitoring

Packaging technologies have evolved from passive containment systems to active and intelligent platforms capable of interacting with the food environment. Smart packaging incorporates sensors and indicators that provide real-time information about product quality and safety. For example, intelligent packaging systems can monitor parameters such as pH, oxygen concentration, and the presence of volatile organic compounds associated with spoilage. These systems often use colorimetric indicators that change colour in response to environmental changes, providing a visual indication of product freshness. IoT-enabled devices further enhance monitoring capabilities by enabling continuous data transmission throughout the supply chain. Sensors embedded in packaging or storage environments can track temperature fluctuations, humidity

levels, and gas composition, ensuring that optimal conditions are maintained during transportation and storage. This level of monitoring significantly reduces the risk of spoilage and enhances traceability, thereby increasing consumer confidence.

3. Sustainable Food Processing Technologies

3.1 Smart Processing Systems

The integration of digital technologies into food processing has led to the development of smart processing systems characterized by automation, connectivity, and data-driven optimization. These systems utilize sensors to continuously monitor processing parameters such as temperature, pressure, and flow rate, ensuring consistent product quality. Advanced concepts such as digital twins allow the creation of virtual models of processing systems, enabling simulation and optimization without disrupting actual operations. This approach not only improves efficiency but also reduces energy consumption and operational costs.

3.2 Hurdle Technology

Hurdle technology represents a strategic approach to food preservation that combines multiple factors to inhibit microbial growth. Rather than relying on a single preservation method, this approach employs a series of “hurdles” that microorganisms must overcome to survive. These hurdles may include reduced temperature, low pH, decreased water activity, and the presence of preservatives. The combined effect of these factors creates an environment that is unfavourable for microbial growth while preserving the sensory and nutritional qualities of the food. This approach is particularly valuable in minimizing the need for intense thermal processing, thereby maintaining product quality.

3.3 Emerging Non-Thermal Technologies

In recent years, non-thermal processing technologies have gained attention as sustainable alternatives to conventional heat-based methods. Techniques such as high-pressure processing (HPP), pulsed electric fields (PEF), and cold plasma treatment effectively inactivate microorganisms while preserving the nutritional and sensory attributes of food. For instance, HPP applies high levels of hydrostatic pressure to disrupt microbial cell membranes, leading to inactivation without significant heat generation. Similarly, PEF uses short bursts of high voltage to permeabilize cell membranes, enhancing microbial inactivation and improving extraction

processes. These technologies are particularly advantageous for heat-sensitive foods such as juices and dairy products.

3.4 Waste Reduction and Resource Efficiency

Sustainable food processing also emphasizes the efficient use of resources and the reduction of waste. One of the key strategies in this area is the valorisation of food by-products, where waste materials are converted into valuable products such as bioactive compounds, dietary fibers, and biofuels. In addition, the application of AI and IoT in supply chain management enables better demand forecasting, inventory control, and logistics optimization. These systems reduce overproduction and minimize losses during distribution. Water and energy conservation technologies further enhance sustainability by reducing the environmental footprint of food processing operations.

4. Role in Global Food Security

The integration of smart diagnostics and sustainable processing technologies plays a crucial role in strengthening global food security. By reducing losses, improving efficiency, and enhancing productivity, these innovations ensure a more stable and reliable food supply. Early detection of contamination prevents large-scale spoilage, while efficient supply chain management ensures that food reaches consumers in optimal condition. Furthermore, precision agriculture technologies improve crop yields and resource efficiency, contributing to long-term sustainability.

5. Challenges and Limitations

Despite their potential, the adoption of these technologies faces several challenges. High initial investment costs and limited infrastructure in developing regions restrict accessibility. Additionally, the increasing reliance on digital systems raises concerns about data security and privacy. Another significant challenge is the lack of standardized protocols and regulatory frameworks, which can hinder the widespread implementation of new technologies. Addressing these issues requires coordinated efforts from governments, industry stakeholders, and research institutions.

6. Future Prospects

The future of food safety and security lies in the continued integration of advanced technologies. Emerging trends include the development of autonomous food systems capable of

self-monitoring and self-correction, as well as the use of blockchain technology for enhanced traceability. The field of food informatics is also expected to play a critical role by integrating data from multiple sources to support informed decision-making. As these technologies evolve, they will contribute to the creation of resilient, sustainable, and efficient food systems.

7. Conclusion

Smart diagnostics and sustainable processing technologies represent a paradigm shift in the management of food safety and security. By enabling proactive monitoring, efficient resource utilization, and reduced waste, these innovations address some of the most pressing challenges facing the global food system. Their widespread adoption will be essential for ensuring a safe, sustainable, and secure food supply for future generations.

References

- Chen, J. S., Ma, E., Harrington, L. B., Da Costa, M., Tian, X., Palefsky, J. M., & Doudna, J. A. (2018). CRISPR-Cas12a target binding unleashes indiscriminate single-stranded DNase activity. *Science*, 360(6387), 436–439.
- Food and Agriculture Organization. (2019). *The state of food and agriculture 2019: Moving forward on food loss and waste reduction*. FAO.
- Gowen, A. A., O'Donnell, C. P., Cullen, P. J., Downey, G., & Frias, J. M. (2007). Hyperspectral imaging—An emerging process analytical tool for food quality and safety control. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 18(12), 590–598. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2007.06.001>
- Gustavsson, J., Cederberg, C., Sonesson, U., Van Otterdijk, R., & Meybeck, A. (2011). *Global food losses and food waste: Extent, causes and prevention*. Food and Agriculture Organization.
- Kader, A. A. (2005). Increasing food availability by reducing postharvest losses of fresh produce. *Acta Horticulturae*, 682, 2169–2176. <https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2005.682.296>
- Kamilaris, A., & Prenafeta-Boldú, F. X. (2018). Deep learning in agriculture: A survey. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 147, 70–90.
- Leistner, L. (2000). Basic aspects of food preservation by hurdle technology. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 55(1–3), 181–186. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605\(00\)00161-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(00)00161-6)

Velusamy, V., Arshak, K., Korostynska, O., Oliwa, K., & Adley, C. (2010). An overview of foodborne pathogen detection: In the perspective of biosensors. *Biotechnology Advances*, 28(2), 232–254.

Zhang, M., Chen, H., Mujumdar, A. S., Tang, J., Miao, S., & Wang, Y. (2020). Recent developments in smart food processing technology. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 60(18), 3070–3087. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2019.1694979>

World Health Organization. (2020). *Food safety*. WHO.